

R W Green Limited



Arboricultural, Horticultural & Ecological Management

01273 480727

www.rwgreenlimited.co.uk

Arboricultural Report – Implications Assessment & Method Statement
Ref: RWG-NDJ – 13-35 – Chantry Primary School, Bexhill, TN40 2AT

Contents

Executive Summary.....	3
1. Introduction	4
2. Tree Survey.....	6
3. Site Specific Tree Protection Method Statement	9
4. Arboricultural Implications Assessment.....	12
5. Summary & Conclusions.....	13
Appendix 1	15
Appendix 2	17
Appendix 3	20
Appendix 4	22
Appendix 5	25

List of colour plates

Plate 1 – View north to tree numbers 1, 2 & 3	21
Plate 2 – View to the west of the proposed development area	21
Plate 3 – View of the trees located off site to the west	21

LIABILITY

R W Green Limited has prepared this report for the sole use of White Design in accordance with the agreement under which our services were commissioned. No warranty, express or implied, is made as to the advice in this report or any other service provided by us. This report may not be relied upon by any other party without the prior written permission of R W Green Limited. The content of this report is, at least in part, based upon information provided by others and on the assumption that all relevant information has been provided by those parties from whom it has been requested. Information obtained from any third party has not been independently verified by R W Green Limited, unless otherwise stated in the report.

COPYRIGHT

© This report is the copyright of R W Green Limited. Any unauthorised reproduction or usage by any person is prohibited.

Executive Summary

R W Green Limited were commissioned by White Design to prepare an arboricultural report to advise on the potential impacts of the proposed development upon the existing tree population located at Chantry Primary School, Barrack Road, Bexhill on Sea, East Sussex, TN40 2AT.

The proposed development includes an extension to the existing school building.

This report confirms that there are no trees identified for removal to facilitate the proposed development.

The proposed development will have no negative impact on the adjacent retained trees.

Construction activity could potentially affect the trees. However by implementing suitable protection measures and monitoring for the retained trees there is ample scope within the site for the construction process and associated activities required to facilitate the proposed development.

Nicholas D Jones *BSc (Hons). M Arbor A.*

1. Introduction

- 1.1 Formal details – My name is Nicholas Jones I am the principal arboricultural consultant for R W Green Limited based at The Lister Building, Upper Stoneham Farm, Lewes, East Sussex, BN8 5RH. I have 24 years' experience in the arboricultural industry with the past 13 years acting as a consultant; I hold a BSc (Hons) in Arboriculture awarded by the University of Central Lancashire and I am a Professional Member of both the Arboricultural Association and the Consulting Arborist Society. Moreover I am a Lantra accredited Professional Tree Inspector giving advice to clients on a wide range of arboricultural and horticultural issues.
- 1.2 The following arboricultural report has been commissioned by White Design in order to advise on the following:
- The species, size and position of any trees within the area of the proposed development and within neighbouring and adjoining areas where trees may have some significance to the proposed development.
 - The maturity and condition of the trees surveyed with appropriate recommendations for action.
 - The impact of the proposed development upon the tree population in and around the site.
 - Specific measures required to protect retained trees during the development works and the ongoing monitoring of construction works to ensure that retained trees remain protected effectively.
- 1.3 The site was visited on 30th August 2013 and a survey carried out identifying and locating the relevant trees.

- 1.4 An assessment of the trees in the vicinity of the proposed development has been made in line with the guidance provided in British Standard 5837:2012 'Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction Recommendations'.
- 1.5 The extent of any statutory protection afforded to the individual trees on or adjacent to the site has not been fully verified with the local planning authority.
- 1.6 This report has been undertaken with reference to the following drawings:

Originator	Drg No	Title
White Design	6222 D 0422	Existing GF Plan Survey Requirements
White Design	6222 D 0112	Proposed Ground Floor Plan
R W Green Limited	RWG-NDJ-13-35 A	Tree Layout
R W Green Limited	RWG-NDJ-13-35 B	Tree Protection Plan

- 1.7 The following documents are referred to in this report:

Originator	Title/Reference
British Standards Institute	5837:2012 Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction - Recommendations

2. Tree Survey

- 2.1 All trees on site have been assessed and are recorded in the tree schedule (**Appendix 1**) with all key trees plotted onto Drg no RWG-NDJ-13-35 A Tree Layout (**Appendix 4**). The trees have been visually assessed from ground level only using non invasive methods of inspection. Tree height is an estimation, crown spread and height to underside of canopy are measured with a Disto laser measure.
- 2.2 British Standard 5837:2012 provides guidance for the assessment of trees on development sites and suggests four primary quality assessment categories and three associated sub categories into which trees should be placed. These categories are defined in Table 1:

Category & Definition	Criteria			Identification on Plan
Category U Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years	Trees that have a serious, irremediable, structural defect, such that their early loss is expected due to collapse, including those that will become unviable after removal of other category U trees (ie. Where for whatever reason, the loss of companion shelter cannot be mitigated by pruning) <ul style="list-style-type: none">Trees that are dead or are showing signs of significant immediate and irreversible overall declineTrees infected with pathogens of significance to the health and/or safety of other trees nearby, or very low quality trees suppressing adjacent trees of better quality NOTE: Category U trees can have existing or potential conservation value which it might be desirable to preserve			Dark Red
Trees to Be Considered For Retention				
Category & Definition	Criteria - Subcategories			Identification on Plan
	1. Mainly arboricultural qualities	2. Mainly landscape qualities	3. Mainly cultural values, including conservation	
Category A Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years	Trees that are particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual, or those that are essential components of groups, or formal or semi-formal arboricultural features (eg. The dominant and/or principal trees within an avenue)	Trees, groups or woodlands or particular visual importance as arboricultural and/or landscape features	Trees, groups or woodlands of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (eg. Veteran trees or wood-pasture)	Light Green
Category B Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years	Trees that might be included in category A, but are downgraded because of impaired condition (e.g. presence of significant though remediable defects, including unsympathetic past management and storm damage), such that they are unlikely to be suitable for retention for beyond 40 years; or trees lacking the special quality necessary to merit the category A designation	Trees present in numbers, usually as groups or woodlands, such that they attract a higher collective rating that they might as individuals; or trees occurring as collectives but situated so as to make little visual contribution to the wider locality	Trees with material conservation or other cultural value	Mid Blue
Category C Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150mm	Unremarkable trees of very limited merit or such impaired condition that they do not qualify in higher categories	Trees present on groups or woodlands, but without this conferring on them significantly greater collective landscape value, and/or trees offering low or only temporary/transient landscape benefit	Trees with no material conservation or other cultural value	Grey

Table 1

2.3 The survey information collated for each tree is as follows:

- Tree reference number: As recorded on the site plan.
- Tree species: Common name only
- Age class: (J) Juvenile, (SM) Semi mature, (EM) Early mature, (M) Mature, (OM) Over mature, (V) Veteran
- Estimated remaining contribution in years eg: Less than 10, 10-20, 20-40, more than 40
- Height: In metres
- Stem diameter measured in millimetres as follows:
 - Single stem trees - measured at 1.5m above ground level
 - Multi stem trees (less than five stems) total of all stem diameters measured at 1.5m above ground level
 - Multi stem trees (more than five stems) mean stem diameter measured at 1.5m above ground level
- Adjusted root protection area radius (Metres) calculated in accordance with the formulas provided in chapter 4.6 and Annex D of BS5837:2012
- Crown Spread: Measured at the four cardinal points (Metres)
- Height to underside of canopy: Measurement from ground level to the lowest branch (Metres)
- Physiological condition: Excellent, Fair, Poor, Dead
- Structural condition: Assessed as previous item on presence of decay and potential structural defects
- Quality assessment category: As defined in Table 1
- Comments and observations: Information regarded as relevant by the assessing arborist
- Recommended works: Details of any remedial action required to address significant defects and or facilitate development

3. Site Specific Tree Protection Method Statement

- 3.1 The principal purpose of a Tree Protection Method Statement is to ensure the preservation of retained trees through setting out appropriate working practices, construction techniques and tree protection measures that will be adopted when construction work is undertaken.
- 3.2 It is the responsibility of the client to appoint a suitably qualified project arborist prior to the commencement of works.
- 3.3 On site monitoring - Arboricultural monitoring will involve a schedule of visits, frequency to be agreed with the Local Planning Authority, and completion of a standard form an example of which is provided in **Appendix 5** which must be completed by the project arborist and signed by the client, site manager or their representative and the project arborist. A copy is then kept by the client, the project arborist and an additional copy forwarded to the Local Planning Authority.
- 3.4 British Standard recommendations provide a formula for calculating the Root Protection Area which indicates the area around a tree deemed to contain sufficient roots and rooting volume to maintain the trees viability. The protection of the roots and soil within this area should be treated as a priority. The shape of the root protection area and its exact location will depend upon arboricultural considerations and the area will normally be represented on a constraints plan as a circle or polygon.
- 3.5 The Root Protection Areas of the trees proposed for retention are detailed in the tree schedule **Appendix 1** and are indicated on Drg No. RWG-NDJ-13-35 B **Appendix 4**.

- 3.6 Protective fencing will be erected in accordance with section 6 of BS5837:2012 and as indicated in Figure1. The location of the protective fencing is indicated on Drg No. RWG-NDJ-13-35 B **Appendix 4**.




Figure 1

- 3.7 Any construction works required within the fenced root protection areas of the retained trees will be completed under the periodic supervision of the project arborist.
- 3.8 The supervision may require the project arborist to be present throughout the tasks to ensure all of the arboricultural objectives are met.

- 3.9 Arboricultural supervision is to be carried out at all crucial stages throughout the construction process to ensure that tasks are undertaken in accordance with the approved methodology.
- 3.10 If the task is to be prolonged, provided the project arborist is satisfied, the supervision may be reduced to telephone contact between the site manager and the project arborist.
- 3.11 The Local Authority Arborist shall have free access to the site and pass any observations and recommendations directly to the project arborist.
- 3.12 Any accidental damage to the retained trees or any associated protection measures must be reported to the site manager immediately. Works occurring in the vicinity must cease immediately until adequate remediation has been completed. A record of any damage will be made by the site manager and in consultation with the project arborist any remediation undertaken.

4. Arboricultural Implications Assessment

- 4.1 The arboricultural impact of the proposed development upon the trees proposed for retention is fully detailed in **Appendix 2**.
- 4.2 There is no tree loss associated with the proposed development. Trees located off site to the west, will remain unaffected by the proposed development due to the difference in levels and the existing sit layout.

5. Summary & Conclusions

5.1 British Standard 5837: 2012 contains clear and current recommendations for a best practice approach to the assessment, retention and protection of trees on development sites. The proposed development has followed this guidance by:

- Seeking arboricultural advice to inform the layout and design of the proposal
- Respecting the constraints posed to development of the site by the retained trees, and taking proactive steps to ensure their protection during development
- Continuing to take advice on all aspects of the proposal that may impact upon the retained trees

5.2 The proposed development is located outside the root protection areas of the retained trees. The proposed development will have no detrimental impact on the retained trees.

5.3 By implementing suitable protection measures for the retained trees it is my considered opinion that there is ample scope within the site for the construction process and associated activities required to facilitate the proposed development.

5.4 In summary I consider that there are no valid arboricultural issues that reasonably restrict the proposed development of the site.

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to be 'RWG', is written over a faint, circular, dotted background.

Signed:

Date: 12.09.2013

COPYRIGHT

© This report is the copyright of R W Green Limited. Any unauthorised reproduction or usage by any person is prohibited.

DIRECTORS: RICHARD W GREEN, NCH (ARB) & ALISON J GREEN
VAT Reg. No. GB 781 8713 96
Registered in England & Wales No. 03949783
Registered office: One Bell Lane, Lewes BN7 1JU

Appendix 1



Chantry Primary School Tree Schedule

Tree number	Tree species	Age class	Estimated remaining contribution (years)	Tree Height (m)	Number of stems	Stem diameter (mm)	Crown spread (m)				Height to underside of canopy (m)	Physiological condition	Structural condition	Quality Assessment Category	Comments and observations	Recommended works	Root Protection Area Radius (m) for retained trees
							N	E	S	W							
1	Willow	M	<20	6	1	375	4.0	3.8	5.5	4.0	2.1	Fair	Fair	B1	Previously heavily reduced, fair specimen	No work required	4.5
2	Beech	EM	<40	4	1	300	2.9	3.3	2.5	2.4	1.2	Fair	Fair	C1	Previously heavily reduced, fair specimen	No work required	3.6
3	Cypress	SM	<15	3	2	140	0.2	0.5	0.5	0.5	2.0	Fair	Poor	C1	Poor specimen	No work required	3.3
4	Silver Birch	SM	<40	5	1	210	2.5	2.7	2.2	2.8	1.8	Fair	Fair	C1	Fair specimen	No work required	2.5
5	Walnut	SM	<40	4	1	150	3.0	3.1	2.6	1.8	1.8	Fair	Fair	C1	Fair specimen	No work required	1.8
6	Silver Birch	SM	<40	6	1	210	2.5	1.5	2.0	2.5	1.8	Fair	Fair	C1	Fair specimen	No work required	2.5
7	Silver Birch	SM	<40	5	1	200	2.5	2.5	1.5	2.5	1.8	Fair	Fair	C1	Fair specimen	No work required	2.4
8	Silver Birch	SM	<40	5	1	200	2.0	3.0	2.8	2.7	1.8	Fair	Fair	C1	Fair specimen	No work required	2.4
9	Silver Birch	SM	<40	6	1	210	2.5	2.5	2.3	1.8	1.8	Fair	Fair	C1	Fair specimen	No work required	2.5
10	Apple	SM	<40	2	1	70	1.6	1.5	1.2	1.9	1.7	Fair	Fair	C1	Fair specimen	No work required	0.8
11	Alder	SM	<40	6	1	180	2.8	2.8	2.1	2.2	2.0	Fair	Fair	C1	Fair specimen	No work required	2.1
12	Cherry	SM	<40	4	1	165	2.1	2.5	2.5	1.6	1.8	Fair	Fair	C1	Fair specimen	No work required	1.9

Appendix 2



Chantry Primary School Arboricultural Impact Assessment

Tree number	Tree species	Retention category	Age class	RPA Affected	Nature of impact	Comments and observations	Mitigation Required
1	Willow	B1	M	Nil	None	Tree is located outside the area of the proposed development	Ensure tree protection fencing is erected as detailed in section 3 of the arboricultural report
2	Beech	C1	EM	Nil	None	Tree is located outside the area of the proposed development	Ensure tree protection fencing is erected as detailed in section 3 of the arboricultural report
3	Cypress	C1	SM	Nil	None	Tree is located outside the area of the proposed development	Ensure tree protection fencing is erected as detailed in section 3 of the arboricultural report
4	Silver Birch	C1	SM	Nil	None	Tree is located outside the area of the proposed development	Ensure tree protection fencing is erected as detailed in section 3 of the arboricultural report
5	Walnut	C1	SM	Nil	None	Tree is located outside the area of the proposed development	Ensure tree protection fencing is erected as detailed in section 3 of the arboricultural report
6	Silver Birch	C1	SM	Nil	None	Tree is located outside the area of the proposed development	Ensure tree protection fencing is erected as detailed in section 3 of the arboricultural report



Chantry Primary School Arboricultural Impact Assessment

Tree number	Tree species	Retention category	Age class	RPA Affected	Nature of impact	Comments and observations	Mitigation Required
7	Silver Birch	C1	SM	Nil	None	Tree is located outside the area of the proposed development	Ensure tree protection fencing is erected as detailed in section 3 of the arboricultural report
8	Silver Birch	C1	SM	Nil	None	Tree is located outside the area of the proposed development	Ensure tree protection fencing is erected as detailed in section 3 of the arboricultural report
9	Silver Birch	C1	SM	Nil	None	Tree is located outside the area of the proposed development	Ensure tree protection fencing is erected as detailed in section 3 of the arboricultural report
10	Apple	C1	SM	Nil	None	Tree is located outside the area of the proposed development	Ensure tree protection fencing is erected as detailed in section 3 of the arboricultural report
11	Alder	C1	SM	Nil	None	Tree is located outside the area of the proposed development	Ensure tree protection fencing is erected as detailed in section 3 of the arboricultural report
12	Cherry	C1	SM	Nil	None	Tree is located outside the area of the proposed development	Ensure tree protection fencing is erected as detailed in section 3 of the arboricultural report

Appendix 3



Plate 1 – View north of tree numbers 1,
2 & 3





Plate 2 – View to the west of the
proposed development area



Plate 3 – View of the trees located off
site to the west

Appendix 4





Appendix 5

**R W Green Limited
Construction Site Monitoring Record**



Site Address:

Client:

Date	Activity	Comments	Actions	By whom	Signed (on behalf of R W Green)	Signed (on behalf of client)